

EBOOK




**HOW TO SOLVE A
PERFORMANCE SHORTFALL
IN A SCROLL COMPRESSOR**

A PRACTICAL APPLICATION OF GT-SUITE



SCROLL COMPRESSOR BACKGROUND & TERMINOLOGY	03
PROBLEM STATEMENT	05
GT-SUITE SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS	06
RESULTS	06
CONCLUSIONS	09


Credits: The contents in this eBook have been re-created from the presentation “A practical application of GT-SUITE to solve a performance shortfall in a scroll compressor” presented by Mr Joe Ziolkowski from Trane Technologies at the 2020 GT Global Conference.



SCROLL COMPRESSOR BACKGROUND AND TERMINOLOGY


TRANE SCROLL COMPRESSORS

- A scroll compressor utilizes two opposing parts, with prismatic, spiral-shaped walls to form and compress a gas, usually air or refrigerant.
- Trane scroll compressors utilize a stationary "fixed" and moving "orbiting" scroll to create the compression mechanism.







SCROLL COMPRESSION PROCESS

- Happens in three phases
 - Suction
 - Compression
 - Discharge






SCROLL COMPRESSION LOSSES



VAPOR INJECTION


- Auxiliary (economizer) port located in compression to inject refrigerant vapor
- Piping network pressure drop and heat transfer, can significantly affect mass flow rate
 - Pressure drops characterized through submodeling



PROBLEM STATEMENT

PROTOTYPE PERFORMANCE SHORTFALL

- Based on observed performance during prototype lab testing
- Economizer Mass Flow Rate 19% below target (Shortfall #1)
- Compressor Efficiency 8% below target (Shortfall #2)
- Root cause investigation
 - Heavy reliance upon analytical tools
- GT-SUITE Sensitivity Analysis
 - Using detailed chamber compressor model
 - Basic level calibration




GT-SUITE SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS

- Full-Factorial DOE
 - 5 Factors
 - 3 Levels
 - 243 Experiments
- Performed at 2 performance rating conditions
- Quantitative sensitivity results desirable
 - Choice of min/max values must be realistic and reflective of actual differences


The screenshot shows the 'Advanced' tab of the DOE control interface. It includes buttons for 'Turn DOE OFF', 'Clear DOE', and 'Refresh Experiments'. The 'DOE Type' is set to 'Full Factorial' and the '# of Experiments' is 243. Below this is a table of parameters:

Parameter	Unit	Variable	Min/Max Value Source	# of Levels
FGAP (DOE)		Flank Gap	design differences + Part Inspections	3
HCLdirect (DOE)		Tip Gap	Assembly log	3
WSLdirect (DOE)		Tip Seal Width	Assembly differences	3
EconPressure (DOE)		Economizer Gallery Pressure Drop	GT/CFD Submodeling	3
EconSuperheat (DOE)		Economizer Gallery Superheat	Previous test data	3

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS RESULTS





- Results post-processed to the same reference frame as the problem statement



Relative Sensitivities and Correlation Coefficients Analysis


#	Experiment Set	Case	Response	HCLdirect	FGAP	WSLdirect	EconPressure	EconSuperheat	Linear R-Squared
Type									
Factors									
1	Default	1 - Case - 1	Economizer_Mass_Flow_Rate	0.03944	0.2850	0.2460	0.2668	0.1635	0.9997
2	Default	1 - Case - 1	Suction_Mass_Flow_Rate	0.0636	0.4772	0.2864	0.28046	0.21240	0.9997
3	Default	1 - Case - 1	Compressor_Efficiency	0.0620	0.4688	0.2868	0.28450	0.28220	1.000
4	Default	1 - Case - 1	Economizer_Volume_Flow_Rate	0.05910	0.2754	0.2647	0.2288	0.25860	0.9999

- Gives the relative contribution of each factor to the total performance shortfall
- Results show a model under-prediction
 - Closer on Compressor Efficiency




SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS VALIDATION

- A qualitative and quantitative assessment was made through testing
- Results confirm an overall under-prediction of the economizer dP factor by almost 2x
- Relative strength of the two factors produces the same conclusion





PERFORMANCE RECOVERY

- Successful recovery of most of the performance shortfall
- Recovery achieved through:
 - Reduced economizer gallery flow resistance



- Reductions in nominal flank gap and tip seal clearance



CONCLUSION

- A simple sensitivity analysis was used to quickly solve a challenging performance shortfall problem
- Quantitative results were obtained by using realistic min/max factor values
- A simple validation test was conducted, which boosted confidence and helped to better quantify recovery expectations
- Recovery factors were targeted based off GT-SUITE analysis, and produced satisfactory problem resolution
- The value of a quickly solved problem, such as this could be \$50k-\$40M!



- IF YOU'RE INTERESTED TO LEARN MORE

Gamma Technologies develops GT-SUITE, the industry-leading Model-Based Systems Engineering (MBSE) CAE system simulation software.

GT-SUITE provides a comprehensive set of validated 0D/1D/3D multi-physics component libraries, which simulate the physics of fluid flow, thermal, mechanical, multi-body, structural, electrical, magnetic, chemistry, and controls.

Utilizing combinations of these libraries, accurate models can be built of practically any HVACR (Heating, ventilation, air conditioning, and refrigerant) systems such as pumps, compressors, oil and gas piping systems, and others. This makes GT-SUITE the ideal HVACR simulation software.

- CONTACT US

Gamma Technologies, LLC.
601 Oakmont Lane, Suite 220
Westmont, IL 60559, USA
Phone Number: +1 (630) 325-5848
E-Mail: GT_US_Sales@gtisoft.com

Gamma Technologies GmbH
Danneckerstrasse 37
D-70182 Stuttgart, Germany
Phone Number: +49 (0) 711-553232-10
E-Mail: GT_EU_Sales@gtisoft.com

Gamma CAE Technologies Pvt Ltd
World Trade Center, Tower no. 1
4th Floor, Office 410
Kharadi, Pune 411014, India
E-Mail: GT_IN_SalesGroup@gtisoft.com

CLICK REFERENCES:

[HVACR SIMULATION](#)

[INTRODUCTION TO PUMPS AND COMPRESSORS IN GT-SUITE](#)

[TRAININGS & SEMINARS](#)